

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,233		0/31/2003	Chihaya Adachi	10020/18103	2304
26646	7590	11/25/2005		EXAMINER	
KENYON ONE BROA		ON	YAMNITZKY, MARIE ROSE		
NEW YOR		004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1774	

DATE MAILED: 11/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			3,233	ADACHI ET AL.					
			ner	Art Unit					
		Marie f	R. Yamnitzky	1774					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on	the cover sheet wil	th the correspondence ac	idress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N Isions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. atutory period will apply an will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNIC o event, however, may a re d will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AB	CATION. Peply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this of ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 31 Oct 2003	03 Mar 2004 and	12 Mar 2004					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□									
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39-60</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☐ Claim(s) <u>39-60</u> is/are rejected.								
7)									
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or electio	n requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[🛛	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a)□ accepted or	b) objected to I	by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	Note the attached	Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies			received in this National	Stage				
* 0	application from the Internation	•	• • • •						
3	see the attached detailed Office action	or for a list of the Co	ertilled copies not i	receivea.					
Attachmen	(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)					
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	0.450)				
3) ⊠ Inforr Pape	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or • No(s)/Mail Date <u>rec'd 31 Oct 2003</u> and 12	PTO/SB/08) Mar 2004.	5) Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PT0 	J-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/698,233 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

1. The preliminary amendment received October 31, 2003, which amends the specification,

cancels claims 1-38, and adds claims 39-60, has been entered.

Claims 39-60 are pending.

2. Applicant's claim to domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 120 is acknowledged, but is

unclear.

The preliminary amendment received October 31, 2003 amends the specification to

indicate that the present application is a continuation of U.S. Application No. 09/629,335, filed

August 1, 2000, and claims priority to U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/207,330. This claim

to priority is consistent with the application transmittal letter received October 31, 2003, and the

filing of a copy of the executed declaration from 09/629,335 as the present declaration.

A claim to domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 120 was also received on March 03, 2004.

which states that the present application is a CIP of 09/883,734, filed June 18, 2001, which is a

CIP of 09/452,346, filed December 1, 1999, and 09/311,126, filed May 13, 1999. This claim to

priority further states that the present application is a continuation of 09/629,335 and claims the

benefit of 60/207,330. However, the claim to domestic priority based on 09/883,734, 09/452,346

and 09/311,126 was/is not set forth in an application data sheet or in the first sentence of the

specification. Accordingly, applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for

receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120 of these three applications.

If applicant desires to claim the benefit of a prior-filed application under 35 U.S.C. 120, a

specific reference to the prior-filed application in compliance with 37 CFR 1.78(a) must be

included in the first sentence(s) of the specification following the title or in an application data sheet. For benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121 or 365(c), the reference must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) of the applications.

Page 3

If the instant application is a utility or plant application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) on or after November 29, 2000, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. If the application is a utility or plant application which entered the national stage from an international application filed on or after November 29, 2000, after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the date on which the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. See 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and (a)(5)(ii). This time period is not extendable and a failure to submit the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 119(e) and/or 120, where applicable, within this time period is considered a waiver of any benefit of such prior application(s) under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). A benefit claim filed after the required time period may be accepted if it is accompanied by a grantable petition to accept an unintentionally delayed benefit claim under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). The petition must be accompanied by (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 or 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) to the prior application (unless previously submitted), (2) a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t), and (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The

Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional. The petition should be addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

If the reference to the prior application was previously submitted within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 1.78(a), but not in the first sentence(s) of the specification or an application data sheet (ADS) as required by 37 CFR 1.78(a) (e.g., if the reference was submitted in an oath or declaration or the application transmittal letter), and the information concerning the benefit claim was recognized by the Office as shown by its inclusion on the first filing receipt, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a) and the surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t) are not required. Applicant is still required to submit the reference in compliance with 37 CFR 1.78(a) by filing an amendment to the first sentence(s) of the specification or an ADS. See MPEP § 201.11.

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The chemical name in the second line of text on page 5 of the specification does not correspond to the second chemical formula on page 5, but the formula is said to represent the named compound.

Appropriate correction is required.

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application

Art Unit: 1774

claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 39-60 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,645,645 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other. The present claims and the patented claims are the same except for the following:

Present independent claims 39 and 50 recite "wherein the electron transporting host material has a lowest triplet excited state have a triplet state energy, and wherein the phosphorescent dopant material has a triplet excited state with a triplet state energy that is less than the triplet state energy of the lowest triplet excited state of the electron transporting host material." This language is not in the patent claims, but dependent patent claims claiming specific materials would lead one of ordinary skill in the art to combinations of electron transporting host material and phosphorescent dopant material that inherently meet this limitation.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,233

Art Unit: 1774

Present claims 42 and 53 recite "wherein the aryl-substituted oxadiazole comprises 1,3-bis (N,N-t-butyl-phenyl)-1,3,4,-oxadiazole." This language is not in the patent claims. Patent claims 4 and 15 recite "wherein the aryl-substituted oxadiazole comprises a compound represented by" followed by a formula. The formula in patent claims 4 and 15 is equated, on page 5 of the present specification, with "1,3-bis (N,N-t-butyl-phenyl)-1,3,4,-oxadiazole".

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Marie R. Yamnitzky at telephone number (571) 272-1531. The examiner works a flexible schedule but can generally be reached at this number from 6:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday, and every other Wednesday from 6:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

The current fax number for all official faxes is (571) 273-8300. (Unofficial faxes to be sent directly to examiner Yamnitzky can be sent to (571) 273-1531.)

MRY

November 22, 2005

MARIE YAMNITZKY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Marie R. Yamnitzky

Page 6

1974